

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,930	02/10/2004	Akira Sugawara	09792909-5808	3185
26263 7590 02/23/2007 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER	
			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MOI	NTHS	02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A 41 am O	10/775,930	SUGAWARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
riod for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	lanuary 2007	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		ere prosecution as to the morite is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ex parte Quayle, 1990 C.D	. 11, 400 O.G. 210.
position of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
plication Papers		•
·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ou the Evenine
	• •	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	• •
The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
	.xammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form F 10-132.
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	its have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No. <u>10/119,472</u> .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.
•		
	•	
		·
Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)	n □	Ummont (DTO 442)
		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	_·

Application/Control Number: 10/775,930 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 22, 2007 has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

3. The restriction requirement of August 10, 2006 is hereby repeated and maintained. Claims 9 through 11 continue to stand as being withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 22, 2006.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on December 28, 2006. These drawings replacing Figures 9A, 9B and 10, have been approved by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Honda et al 5,708,543.

Honda discloses a method comprising: forming magnetic core halves (e.g. 13, 14 in Fig. 6); bonding the magnetic core halves together in an abutting relationship with a non-magnetic gap (e.g. groove 17 in Fig. 7) provided at facing end faces (top surface of 14 and bottom surface of 15 in Fig. 7) of the magnetic core halves; forming a groove (e.g. 12) at each end portion of a slide contact plane other than at the end faces (top surface of 14 and bottom surface of 15 in Fig. 7) of the magnetic core halves on the slide contact plane; and forming a nonmagnetic portion by filling each of the grooves with a non-magnetic glass material (e.g. 16).

It is noted that the "slide contact plane" in Honda is read as the front surface of each of the magnetic core halves (e.g. 13, 14 in Fig. 7) as each of these end portions of the slide contact plane is comprised of the non-magnetic glass material (e.g. 16). Furthermore, it is also noted that each of these end portions of the slide contact plane (in Figs 6 and 7) corresponds to the final structure of the end portion of the slide contact plane of Honda (in Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim(s) 8, Honda further teaches that a surface roughness of a side plane (e.g. 11a) of the groove is 100 angstrom, which is equal to 10 nm (col. 4, lines 53+).

Response to Arguments

6. The applicant(s) arguments filed December 28, 2006 have been fully considered and now have been considered to be met in light of rejections set forth above.

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

February 20, 2007